Edgebrook Elem School (PK - 4) MCHENRY CCSD 15



Principal

Mrs. Michelle Reinhardt mreinhardt@d15.org

District Superintendent

Dr. Josh Reitz

Address

701 N Green St Mchenry IL 60050 (779)244-1200

http://www.d15.org

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020–2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

School Snapshot

Site-Based Expenditure Per Student Spending: \$14,592

Average Class Size: *

Chronic Absenteeism: 9.6%
Teacher Retention: 84.4%

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 02 | How To Read The Data
- 03 | Academic Progress
- 33 | School Environment
- 38 | Students
- 55 | Accountability
- 57 | Teachers
- 61 | Administrators
- 63 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

Date: 05/26/22 15:29:28 -05:00

How To Read The Data

Understanding COVID-19 Flags

Flag

Description



Data unavailable due to COVID-19

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Caution should be used when reviewing this data. This data should not be considered final until all Assessment testing has been completed and the data has been finalized.




Data delayed due to COVID-19

COVID-19 changes to assessment scheduling delayed publication of 2020-21 data. If no assessment data appears for your district, it may not appear until April of 2022.



About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



IAR

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
School	29.5%	11.5%	29.5%	29.5%	0.0%	10.2%	37.3%	27.1%	23.7%	1.7%
District	29.5%	18.1%	24.7%	27.1%	0.6%	21.1%	28.4%	25.1%	23.0%	2.4%
State	29.4%	20.9%	21.3%	26.4%	1.9%	22.9%	23.1%	22.8%	25.1%	6.1%
White										
School	23.4%	6.4%	36.2%	34.0%	0.0%	8.9%	37.8%	24.4%	26.7%	2.2%
District	23.8%	16.3%	28.8%	30.4%	0.8%	16.4%	26.9%	26.5%	27.3%	2.9%
State	19.5%	20.6%	24.2%	33.2%	2.5%	12.2%	20.4%	26.9%	33.1%	7.5%
Black										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.7%	21.9%	14.1%	10.1%	0.2%	52.0%	26.9%	13.7%	6.8%	0.7%
Male										
School	34.6%	15.4%	23.1%	26.9%	0.0%	12.0%	36.0%	20.0%	28.0%	4.0%
District	36.1%	18.3%	20.1%	24.3%	1.2%	20.7%	29.0%	24.3%	22.5%	3.6%
State	33.0%	21.9%	20.9%	23.0%	1.2%	22.3%	22.0%	22.5%	26.3%	7.0%
Female										
School	25.7%	8.6%	34.3%	31.4%	0.0%	8.8%	38.2%	32.4%	20.6%	0.0%
District	22.7%	17.8%	29.4%	30.1%	0.0%	21.6%	27.8%	25.9%	23.5%	1.2%
State	25.7%	19.9%	21.7%	30.0%	2.6%	23.4%	24.3%	23.2%	23.8%	5.3%

IAR (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Hispanic										
School	53.8%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	0.0%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	0.0%
District	52.1%	21.9%	15.1%	11.0%	0.0%	34.2%	31.5%	24.7%	9.6%	0.0%
State	44.7%	22.7%	17.5%	14.5%	0.6%	36.7%	30.2%	19.0%	12.4%	1.6%
Asian	1			1					1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.5%	14.7%	23.5%	45.2%	5.1%	6.8%	12.4%	19.7%	38.7%	22.4%
Native Haw	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander		1					1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.8%	20.8%	26.7%	29.7%	1.0%	19.2%	26.3%	22.2%	29.3%	3.0%
American I	Indian									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.9%	19.2%	18.8%	21.2%	1.9%	32.2%	24.0%	19.2%	18.8%	5.8%
Two or Mor	re Races									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.1%	21.2%	22.7%	26.6%	2.3%	21.6%	24.0%	22.1%	24.7%	7.5%

IAR (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Students w	ith Disabilit	ies								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	61.3%	24.2%	9.7%	4.8%	0.0%	44.4%	27.0%	22.2%	6.3%	0.0%
State	53.7%	21.1%	13.7%	11.0%	0.6%	41.0%	25.4%	17.7%	13.4%	2.5%
Students w	ith IEPs			,						,
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	61.7%	23.3%	10.0%	5.0%	0.0%	44.3%	27.9%	23.0%	4.9%	0.0%
State	58.4%	20.7%	12.0%	8.5%	0.4%	44.7%	25.7%	16.5%	11.3%	1.8%
Non-IEP										
School	24.1%	11.1%	31.5%	33.3%	0.0%	7.7%	32.7%	30.8%	26.9%	1.9%
District	22.4%	16.9%	27.9%	32.0%	0.7%	15.9%	28.5%	25.6%	27.0%	3.0%
State	24.6%	21.0%	22.9%	29.4%	2.2%	19.3%	22.7%	23.9%	27.3%	6.8%
English Lea	arners									
School	63.6%	27.3%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%
District	62.7%	20.9%	7.5%	9.0%	0.0%	45.5%	30.3%	18.2%	6.1%	0.0%
State	52.2%	23.3%	15.2%	9.0%	0.3%	40.2%	29.6%	18.2%	10.9%	1.1%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
School	22.0%	8.0%	36.0%	34.0%	0.0%	8.2%	36.7%	26.5%	26.5%	2.0%
District	21.1%	17.4%	29.1%	31.7%	0.8%	15.1%	27.9%	26.8%	27.2%	3.0%
State	25.0%	20.5%	22.5%	29.8%	2.2%	19.6%	21.8%	23.7%	27.8%	7.1%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
School	40.0%	12.0%	20.0%	28.0%	0.0%	16.0%	24.0%	36.0%	24.0%	0.0%
District	49.6%	19.5%	14.3%	15.8%	0.8%	34.3%	32.8%	23.9%	8.2%	0.7%
State	46.2%	23.4%	17.1%	12.9%	0.5%	38.9%	29.1%	19.2%	11.6%	1.2%
Non Low I	ncome									
School	22.2%	11.1%	36.1%	30.6%	0.0%	5.9%	47.1%	20.6%	23.5%	2.9%
District	16.1%	17.1%	31.7%	34.7%	0.5%	12.2%	25.4%	25.9%	33.0%	3.6%
State	16.6%	19.1%	24.6%	36.7%	3.0%	10.7%	18.5%	25.6%	35.3%	9.9%
Homeless										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.6%	22.8%	13.9%	9.5%	0.2%	45.2%	29.1%	18.0%	7.1%	0.6%
Migrant										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.4%	24.7%	15.4%	8.5%	0.0%	42.0%	27.8%	21.2%	8.5%	0.4%
Military										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.9%	20.9%	24.9%	24.5%	1.8%	19.4%	22.9%	29.0%	24.9%	3.8%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	27.5%	27.2%	25.4%	19.1%	0.9%	31.7%	31.4%	26.2%	10.4%	0.3%
State	23.6%	22.0%	26.3%	24.5%	3.7%	25.6%	26.0%	24.7%	21.2%	2.5%
White		1	-		1		1			1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	23.4%	27.4%	27.8%	20.2%	1.2%	27.9%	31.6%	29.1%	10.9%	0.4%
State	14.7%	19.9%	29.7%	31.0%	4.7%	14.7%	24.7%	30.1%	27.7%	2.8%
Black				1		1	1	1	1	1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.4%	26.8%	17.8%	8.5%	0.5%	56.3%	27.4%	11.7%	4.4%	0.2%
Male										1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	30.2%	28.0%	22.8%	18.5%	0.5%	31.0%	26.6%	29.3%	12.5%	0.5%
State	27.0%	23.3%	25.9%	21.5%	2.4%	25.7%	24.6%	24.2%	22.6%	2.9%
Female										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	24.0%	26.0%	28.8%	19.9%	1.4%	32.6%	37.5%	22.2%	7.6%	0.0%
State	20.2%	20.6%	26.6%	27.6%	5.0%	25.6%	27.5%	25.2%	19.7%	2.1%

IAR (cont)

• Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Hispanic										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	43.5%	27.5%	15.9%	13.0%	0.0%	45.6%	29.4%	16.2%	8.8%	0.0%
State	36.5%	26.4%	22.8%	13.2%	1.1%	40.1%	31.5%	19.1%	8.8%	0.5%
Asian	1	1	1	1			1		1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.8%	13.6%	25.6%	41.5%	9.5%	7.2%	14.0%	23.0%	43.7%	12.2%
Native Hav	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander	1		ı		1			I .
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	29.8%	20.2%	21.3%	22.3%	6.4%	25.0%	28.3%	32.6%	12.0%	2.2%
American	Indian								1	1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	35.9%	21.7%	20.1%	20.1%	2.2%	37.4%	28.0%	17.0%	14.8%	2.7%
Two or Mo	re Races									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.6%	21.4%	26.5%	25.7%	4.7%	24.5%	26.0%	24.3%	21.8%	3.4%

IAR (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Students v	vith Disabilit	ies								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	67.5%	20.8%	7.8%	3.9%	0.0%	75.7%	17.6%	5.4%	1.4%	0.0%
State	48.3%	24.3%	16.7%	9.8%	1.0%	46.3%	25.9%	16.5%	10.3%	1.0%
Students v	vith IEPs									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	70.3%	17.6%	8.1%	4.1%	0.0%	78.9%	14.1%	5.6%	1.4%	0.0%
State	54.9%	24.0%	13.6%	6.9%	0.6%	51.8%	25.8%	14.1%	7.7%	0.6%
Non-IEP									-	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	15.3%	29.9%	30.3%	23.4%	1.1%	18.7%	36.2%	31.9%	12.8%	0.4%
State	18.5%	21.6%	28.3%	27.3%	4.2%	21.4%	26.1%	26.4%	23.4%	2.8%
English Le	arners	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	53.6%	26.8%	10.7%	8.9%	0.0%	53.7%	29.6%	13.0%	3.7%	0.0%
State	46.0%	28.3%	19.1%	6.4%	0.2%	45.2%	32.0%	16.6%	6.0%	0.2%
Non-Englis	sh Learners									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	22.2%	27.2%	28.3%	21.1%	1.1%	27.4%	31.8%	28.8%	11.7%	0.4%
State	19.7%	20.8%	27.5%	27.7%	4.3%	22.2%	25.0%	26.1%	23.9%	2.9%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	е									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	43.8%	25.0%	19.5%	10.9%	0.8%	47.2%	32.5%	15.4%	4.9%	0.0%
State	37.9%	27.0%	22.3%	11.9%	0.9%	42.8%	30.7%	18.3%	7.9%	0.4%
Non Low In	come									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	17.4%	28.5%	29.0%	24.2%	1.0%	22.4%	30.7%	32.7%	13.7%	0.5%
State	12.8%	18.2%	29.3%	34.0%	5.8%	12.7%	22.5%	29.5%	31.2%	4.1%
Homeless										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.9%	24.3%	19.4%	8.6%	0.8%	48.7%	30.6%	16.0%	4.6%	0.1%
Migrant										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Ca	re									
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	44.2%	26.1%	18.6%	10.0%	1.0%	44.9%	32.8%	15.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Military										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	23.0%	20.1%	27.7%	26.7%	2.5%	23.9%	27.8%	27.7%	18.8%	1.7%

DLM

🔥 Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.0%	9.9%	18.4%	0.7%	75.0%	13.0%	8.2%	3.8%
White								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.3%	10.0%	20.1%	0.6%	74.0%	13.5%	8.2%	4.2%
Black								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	8.8%	20.7%	0.9%	74.5%	10.6%	10.2%	4.6%
Male								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.3%	10.2%	18.9%	0.7%	73.5%	12.8%	8.6%	5.0%
Female								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.3%	17.3%	0.6%	78.4%	13.3%	7.1%	1.2%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Hispanic								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.9%	11.6%	14.1%	0.4%	75.7%	13.0%	8.1%	3.2%
Asian								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.5%	7.8%	15.7%	0.0%	74.5%	21.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Native Hawaii	an/ Pacific Isla	nder						
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Ind	ian							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More F	Races							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.1%	7.7%	17.3%	1.9%	82.4%	9.8%	3.9%	3.9%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Students with	Disabilities							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Students with	ı IEPs							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Non-IEP								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	4.2%	20.8%	0.0%	79.2%	0.0%	8.3%	12.5%
English Learn	ers							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	10.6%	14.0%	0.0%	77.6%	14.4%	5.7%	2.3%
Non-English L	_earners							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	9.7%	19.9%	0.9%	74.2%	12.5%	9.0%	4.4%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	10.3%	19.1%	1.0%	74.0%	13.9%	8.0%	4.1%
Non Low Inco	me							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.4%	17.5%	0.2%	76.4%	11.7%	8.4%	3.5%
Homeless								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	7.7%	23.1%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Migrant								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	10.0%	25.0%	5.0%	65.0%	15.0%	15.0%	5.0%
Military								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.0%	16.5%	12.5%	1.0%	57.9%	10.2%	19.3%	12.7%
White								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.8%	15.8%	13.4%	1.0%	58.9%	8.8%	19.8%	12.6%
Black								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	19.0%	11.4%	0.5%	55.0%	12.8%	21.8%	10.4%
Male								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	67.4%	17.4%	13.9%	1.4%	55.8%	9.7%	19.6%	14.9%
Female								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	14.7%	9.5%	0.3%	62.1%	11.1%	18.7%	8.2%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Hispanic								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.4%	16.6%	12.5%	1.5%	57.4%	11.0%	17.6%	14.0%
Asian								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	78.8%	9.6%	11.5%	0.0%	65.4%	9.6%	11.5%	13.5%
Native Hawaii	an/ Pacific Isla	nder						
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
American Ind	ian							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Two or More F	Races							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.2%	16.1%	6.5%	3.2%	53.3%	10.0%	23.3%	13.3%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19


Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Students with	n Disabilities							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	16.8%	12.5%	1.1%	57.5%	10.2%	19.3%	13.0%
Students with	n IEPs							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	16.8%	12.5%	1.1%	57.5%	10.2%	19.3%	13.0%
Non-IEP								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	81.8%	4.5%	13.6%	0.0%	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%
English Learn	ers							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.2%	16.9%	12.1%	0.8%	58.5%	10.5%	18.5%	12.5%
Non-English L	_earners							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.9%	16.4%	12.6%	1.1%	57.7%	10.1%	19.5%	12.8%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.1%	16.8%	12.2%	0.9%	56.4%	11.9%	20.1%	11.6%
Non Low Inco	me							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.8%	16.1%	12.9%	1.2%	60.0%	7.6%	18.1%	14.4%
Homeless								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%
Migrant								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.2%	14.3%	9.5%	0.0%	57.1%	14.3%	19.0%	9.5%
Military								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%

ISA



What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

Proficiency

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				

	Students with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Proficiency (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Science - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Mean Growth Percentile - IAR



What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

ELA											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

Mean Growth Percentile - IAR (cont)



M	lat	h۵	m)	10	C

Mathematic	lathematics											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military					
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					

Participation Rate

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	87.5 %	87.5 %	87.5 %	89.1% *	*	86.7% *	*	*	*	*	*
District	74.6 %	76.4 %	72.8 %	77.7 %	60.0 %	67.8 %	55.6 %	*	*	65.5 %	75.7 %
State	74.0 %	74.3 %	73.7 %	86.5 %	60.1 %	61.7 %	71.4 %	69.5 %	63.3 %	74.8 %	73.3 %

	Students with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	78.6 %	89.3% *
District	76.1 %	70.5 %	68.8 %
State	73.1 %	59.9 %	66.0%

Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	84.7% *	84.4 % *	85.0 %	85.5 %	*	86.7% *	*	*	*	*	*
District	73.7 %	75.1%	72.1 %	76.9 %	60.0% *	66.5 %	51.9% *	*	*	62.1 %	74.5 %
State	73.3 %	73.6 %	73.0 %	86.0 %	58.9 %	60.9 %	70.8 %	68.4 %	62.5 %	74.1 %	72.5 %

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	71.4 %	89.3% *
District	74.7 %	68.1 %	67.6 %
State	72.3 %	59.0 %	65.1%

Science - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

IAR ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

IAR Mathematics

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	**	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

IAR Mathematics

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

DLM ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

DLM Mathematics

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

DLM Science

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

DLM Science

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*


ISA


	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

About the data

School environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.







Evidence-Based Funding

Illinois Youth Survey

What is it?

This confirms whether or not this school participated in the Illinois Youth Survey (IYS) for the school year. The Illinois Youth Survey is a self-report survey of eighth-, 10th-, and 12th-grade students conducted during the spring of even-numbered years. It is administered in school settings and designed to gather information about a variety of health and social indicators, including substance use patterns and attitudes of Illinois youth. IYS is supported by the Center for Prevention Research and Development (CPRD) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. For more information, visit iys.cprd.illinois.edu. This indicator shows whether your school participated during the most recent administration year. CPRD provides all schools that participate in the Illinois Youth Survey a summary report of their results.

	School did participate in Illinois Youth Survey
School	No

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	nditures		District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures			
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
School	415	\$243	\$14,348	\$14,592	\$456	\$7,864	\$8,320	\$699	\$22,213	\$22,911	*	*
District	4,043	\$164	\$9,658	\$9,822	\$314	\$7,045	\$7,360	\$478	\$16,703	\$17,181	\$3,091,795	\$72,555,924

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	73.0% \$51,761,787	5.5% \$3,914,891	13.7% \$9,703,027	2.7% \$1,903,803	5.1% \$3,597,522	\$70,881,030
State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	50.3%	2.4%	29.4%	17.9%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	72.0% \$52,363,885	3.4% \$2,456,597	5.8% \$4,220,426	7.7% \$5,618,406	1.2% \$851,768	2.9% \$2,125,461	0.0% \$0	7.0% \$5,123,768	\$72,760,311
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

District Finances (cont)

Other	Finan	cial In	dicators
-------	--------------	---------	----------

	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$257,299	5.4	\$9,100	\$12,559
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	PK	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Overall
School	*	19	17	21	21	*	19
District	*	19	19	19	20	20	20
State	4	19	20	20	20	21	20

School Environment

Total School Days

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
School	176
District	176
State	177

Health and Wellness

🔥 Possible data impact due to COVID-19


What is it?

This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
School	1
District	2
State	3

About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	100.0% 466	52.1% 243	47.9% 223	75.1% 350	2.1% 10	20.4% 95	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1% 10	31.1% 145
District	100.0% 4,062	52.3% 2,123	47.7% 1,939	70.5% 2,865	1.3% 52	24.2% 981	1.1% 46	0.0%	0.2% 10	2.6% 104	20.8% 843
State	100.0% 1,887,316	51.3% 969,086	48.7 % 918,230	46.7 % 880,891	16.6% 312,609	27.0 % 510,387	5.4% 102,407	0.1% 1,942	0.2% 4,650	3.9% 74,430	18.3 % 345,533

	with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
School	31.1% 145	14.4% 67	41.6% 194	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
District	19.1% 777	14.5% 589	41.3% 1,678	0.4% 17	0.0%	0.6% 23	1.2 % 47
State	14.9 % 281,241	12.9% 243,308	48.1% 908,417	1.7% 32,284	0.0% 326	0.7% 13,062	0.7% 12,743

By Grades

	PK	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
School	114	105	82	92	71	*
District	114	407	404	391	408	426
State	68,067	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321	134,540

Advanced Academic Programs

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

stadents L		Accelerate	u i laceillei								
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.0% 156,197	7.5% 74,801	8.6 % 81,388	7.7 % 69,509	5.4% 17,793	7.8 % 41,113	20.3% 21,376	13.0% 256	7.7% 385	7.4% 5,765	4.3 % 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	*	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	1.9 % 5,501	2.3% 5,720	5.5 % 50,536	2.2% 512	1.0% 139						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.5% 9,062	0.3% 3,144	0.6% 5,918	0.6% 5,606	0.2% 634	0.3 % 1,776	0.6% 627	0.2% 3	0.5% 26	0.5% 390	0.2% 842

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 247	0.1% 130	0.2% 2,196	0.1% 32	0.0% 7

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.2% 24,290	1.4% 14,444	1.0% 9,845	1.5% 13,688	0.2% 739	0.8% 4,135	4.4 % 4,620	1.2% 23	1.6% 79	1.3% 1,006	0.6% 1,937

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.3% 773	0.3% 846	0.5% 4,371	0.2% 57	0.1% 12

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

otudents L	.iii olieu iii z	accelerated	i i iaceilleil	t - Hutiple t	Jubjects						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.2% 100,406	4.6% 46,281	5.7% 54,120	4.6 % 41,443	3.9% 12,686	5.3% 27,815	13.7% 14,366	10.5% 207	4.4% 219	4.7% 3,670	2.7% 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	**	*	*						
District	*	* *	*	*	*						
State	1.1% 3,069	1.0% 2,626	3.8% 34,624	1.1% 250	0.6% 90						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.2% 4,782	0.2% 2,132	0.3% 2,650	0.1% 929	0.6% 1,891	0.2% 1,279	0.5% 497	0.4% 8	0.3% 17	0.2% 161	0.1% 473

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 253	0.3% 810	0.3 % 2,705	0.0% 0	0.1% 14

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	23.6 % 145,272	20.2% 63,878	27.2 % 81,389	24.5 % 71,845	13.0% 12,944	22.6% 37,832	53.1% 17,209	31.9% 203	19.9% 291	23.9 % 4,948	8.8 % 10,245

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.7% 2,349	7.0% 2,911	16.0% 42,899	*	*

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *
State	1.0% 6,121	0.8% 2,379	1.3 % 3,742	0.3% 824	1.9% 1,842	1.7% 2,922	1.2% 396	0.8 %	1.6 % 23	0.5% 109	0.5% 543

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.2% 163	0.5% 195	1.6% 4,311	*	*

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.1% 390,785	18.3% 183,736	21.9% 207,040	22.4% 202,744	13.3% 43,538	17.6% 92,777	34.4% 36,095	23.3% 461	16.4% 815	18.4 % 14,355	10.7% 37,437

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*

Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12.9% 79,372	12.2% 38,630	13.6 % 40,740	15.5% 45,567	9.2% 9,109	10.2% 17,161	14.8 % 4,805	12.6% 80	10.3% 151	12.1% 2,499	7.7% 9,010

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.8% 5,133	6.1% 2,557	9.7% 25,969	*	*

Gifted Students

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.7% 188,673	9.6% 96,413	9.8% 92,259	10.5 % 95,102	6.1% 19,993	7.5% 39,207	23.1% 24,303	13.1% 258	10.7% 533	11.9% 9,277	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.2 % 20,532	7.0% 17,655	6.5% 59,670	*	*

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.3% 24,668	1.3% 12,576	1.3 % 12,092	1.5% 13,267	0.5% 1,720	0.8% 3,955	4.2 % 4,367	2.0% 40	1.6% 79	1.6% 1,240	*

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.6% 1,594	0.5% 1,238	0.5% 4,817	*	*

Students Identified As Gifted

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	3.4% 65,476	3.4 % 33,874	3.3% 31,601	3.4% 30,346	2.0% 6,537	2.2% 11,680	12.7% 13,394	6.7% 133	3.2% 158	4.1% 3,228	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.7% 2,067	0.5 % 1,224	1.8% 16,100	*	*

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

students i	dentined A	s onteu ia	iagiit by oil	tcu Liidois	cu i cuonci	•					
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.7% 13,016	0.7% 6,723	0.7% 6,293	0.7% 6,289	0.3% 1,123	0.3% 1,672	3.0% 3,122	1.3% 25	0.9 %	1.0% 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	*	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.1% 383	0.1% 156	0.2% 2,156	* *	* *						

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
School	*	100.0% 32	3.1 %	*
District	*	100.0 % 424	3.3 %	* 35
State	*	99.8% 139,811	6.5%	* 38,907

Student Attendance

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	95.8%	95.3%	96.2%	95.7%	92.9%	96.3%	100.0%	*	99.4%	91.3%	92.8%
District	95.9%	95.7%	96.1%	96.2%	91.9%	95.3%	97.4%	94.6%	95.7%	94.3%	94.5%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
School	92.8%	95.9%	94.2%								
District	94.6%	95.3%	94.5%								
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

Student Mobility Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student Mobility

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	9.3%	8.4%	10.2%	8.1%	*	11.7%	*	*	*	*	11.3%
District	6.1%	6.2%	6.0%	5.6%	14.9%	6.4%	4.8%	*	*	11.7%	4.9%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	11.3%	10.0%	11.8%
District	5.0%	6.1%	7.9%
State	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

01-		A I		- •
t:ni	ronic	Anse	ente	eism

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	9.6%	9.7%	9.5%	9.0%	*	9.3%	*	*	*	*	20.0%
District	10.7%	11.0%	10.3%	9.4%	37.8%	12.7%	8.8%	*	*	14.8%	16.1%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	20.0%	12.5%	17.8%
District	16.2%	13.5%	17.4%
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%

Dropout Rate

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	2.6%	0.8%	2.0%	4.7%	3.3%	2.5%

	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	2.9%	4.1%	3.8%

Chronically Truant Students

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	8.8%	9.7%	8.1%	7.6%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	9.0%	9.9%	8.0%	7.8%	*	12.0%	*	*	*	13.8%	12.6%
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
School	*	*	15.8%								
District	12.7%	12.2%	14.1%								
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.

Summative Designation Not Calculated

The requirement to issue a summative designation was waived for school year 2020-2021 so that data can be used to provide information to support reengagement and restoration.

No Data

School Improvement Funds

Schoolwide Title I Program

Title | Status

Title I Status

What is it?

Title I Status indicates if an entity receives funds from the Title I grant. If an entity receives Title I funds, their status can be "Title I Targeted" or "Title I Schoolwide." Title I Targeted assistance programs must focus services to identify students most at risk of failing through multiple objective criteria. Services, including supplies, must ONLY focus on those students identified. Title I Schoolwide programs may focus services to the entire school. Schoolwide Title I Status is defined as a school with 40 percent or greater of the students enrolled classified as low income. Schoolwide programs are not required to identify students at risk and thus may focus their funding and efforts on raising achievement of the whole school.

	Title Status
School	Schoolwide Title I Program

Accountability

School Improvement Funds

What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

Title I School
School Year First Improvement - 1003(a)
Identified As Needing Funds Received for
Support Previous School Year


Reason for Receiving Title
I School Improvement Level of Support 1003(a) Funds


Teachers

About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







Teacher Information

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	14	37.2%	62.8%	91.1%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	17	*
State	17	18

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$73,266
State	\$70,653

Teachers

Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	84.4% 92	85.7% 84	*	77.8% 7	*	*	*	*	50.0 %
	Male	60.0% 3	100.0%	*	0.0%	*	*	*	*	0.0%
	Female	85.6% 89	85.3% 81	*	87.5% 7	*	*	*	*	100.0%
District	All	90.0% 824	90.6% 764	*	80.4 % 45	66.7 %	*	*	100.0% 6	87.5 %
	Male	90.2 %	95.3 % 101	*	53.8 %	*	*	* *	100.0% 3	0.0% 0
	Female	89.9 % 713	90.0% 663	*	88.4 % 38	66.7 %	*	*	100.0% 3	100.0% 7
State	All	87.1 % 308,429	87.6 % 261,273	80.5 % 15,160	87.1 % 20,721	86.8% 4,670	88.0 % 184	84.2% 669	84.8 % 2,254	80.2% 3,498
	Male	88.4 % 72,977	89.2 % 62,644	78.8% 2,882	86.4% 4,759	86.6% 1,096	87.7 % 57	88.0 % 169	86.4% 579	79.7% 791
	Female	86.7% 235,452	87.1% 198,629	81.0% 12,278	87.3 % 15,962	86.8% 3,574	88.2 % 127	82.9% 500	84.3 % 1,675	80.3% 2,707

Teachers

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.


		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	100.0% 39.8	91.2% 36.3	*	6.3% 2.5	*	*	*	*	2.5%
	Male	5.0% 2	5.5% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	95.0% 37.8	94.5% 34.3	*	100.0% 2.5	*	*	*	*	100.0% 1
District	AII	100.0% 310.2	92.9% 288.2	*	5.2% 16	0.3 %	*	*	0.6% 2	1.0% 3
	Male	13.2 % 41	13.2% 38	*	12.5% 2	*	*	*	50.0 %	*
	Female	86.8% 269.2	86.8% 250.2	*	87.5 %	100.0 %	*	*	50.0 %	100.0% 3
State	AII	100.0% 132354.5	82.0 % 108491.7	6.0% 7993.1	7.9 % 10482.3	1.7 % 2309.5	0.1% 83.7	0.2% 240.2	0.8% 1059	1.3% 1695
	Male	23.1% 30617.9	23.4 % 25426.5	20.5% 1641.5	22.5 % 2355	22.2% 512.8	29.8% 24.9	24.1% 58	24.4% 258.6	20.1% 340.5
	Female	76.9% 101736.7	76.6 % 83065.2	79.5% 6351.6	77.5 % 8127.3	77.8 % 1796.7	70.2% 58.8	75.9% 182.3	75.6% 800.4	79.9 % 1354.5

Administrators

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.





1 principal(s) over the past 6 years

Principal Turnover

Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	8	135
State	10	157

Administrators

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

Principal(s) over the past 6 years					
School	1				
District	1				
State	2				

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

Average Administrator Salary					
District	\$105,869				
State	\$114,141				

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2017-18)

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
School	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%
District	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	11.7%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2017-18)

Student Environment (cont)

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence		
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide	
School	0.0%	0	0	
District	0.0%	0	0	
State	2.2%	153	5	

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

		Advanced Placement Course Work		
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate (IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work
School	28.2% 147	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
District	3.3 % 147	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0
State	3.9% 78,272	7.2 % 143,753	0.3% 5,004	3.3% 65,736